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Background
Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) is an aggressive cancer of the lining of the chest wall and lung, its aetiology lies in asbestos exposure. With over 2,500 people diagnosed each year, the UK has the highest incidence of mesothelioma in the world. There are no current curative treatments for mesothelioma.

There are specific psychological challenges for people with MPM. 90% of cases of MPM are linked to asbestos exposure often through a number of occupations, e.g. shipbuilding, railway engineering, and construction trades. There is a 20-50 year lag between exposure to asbestos and the development of MPM. The nature of a MPM diagnosis creates unique challenges in terms of communication.

Breaking bad news (BBN), such as communicating a diagnosis of MPM, is a complex and highly skilled activity. If done badly ‘it can cause long lasting distress, confusion and resentment’. There is a lack of evidence focusing on the nursing contribution to breaking bad news, the role of the Lung Cancer Nurse Specialist (LCNS) and the unique challenges of communicating a diagnosis of mesothelioma.

AIM
The Receiving A Diagnosis Of MESOthelioma study (RADIO MESO) aims to identify ways to improve the patient and family carer experience of receiving a diagnosis of mesothelioma by generating evidence based recommendations for practice.

This poster presentation will focus on the findings that inform nursing practice

METHODS
A qualitative study using Individual interviews, group interviews and consultation methods (electronic surveys).

Individual interviews:
- Patients (n=6)
- Carers (n=9)
- Heath professionals (14 x Lung Cancer Nurse Specialists (LCNS), 1 x support worker, 1 x consultant physician)

Framework analysis was used to identify key themes and issues related to mesothelioma diagnostic experience.

Implications for nursing practice

By exploring patient, family carer and staff perspectives of the delivery of information regarding MPM diagnosis, the study identified a number of patient centred themes that influenced experiences. The related implications for nursing practice are summarized below.

• Provide consistency and continuity in terms of who the patient sees and what is said.
• Have the right specialist knowledge and skills i.e. of both mesothelioma and communication.
• Be patient-centred when communicating a diagnosis
• Balance what information to give and when.
• Plan and prepare as a team, and with the patient, before communicating a diagnosis of mesothelioma.
• Have access to a quiet and private environment to facilitate communication
• Allocate sufficient time to communicate a mesothelioma diagnosis and address patient and family concerns.
• Be direct and honest whilst maintaining hope where possible.
• Involve the Clinical Nurse Specialist throughout, starting as early as possible in the diagnostic pathway.
• Have access to the necessary expertise and resources. No single clinician should carry the responsibility of communicating a diagnosis on their own.
• Maintain effective partnership working with different services (e.g. Asbestos Support Groups)
• Have access to quality resources (e.g. written and online literature and graphics) to explain the diagnosis.

“Make the patient feel like the most important person in the room, and at the centre of the communication process”

Next Steps

• A consultation on the recommendations for practice is being conducted through Mesothelioma UK in April 2018.
• The recommendations will be launched in May
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